

How May I Submit Comments?

To ensure that EPA can read, understand, and therefore properly respond to comments, the Agency requests that you cite, where possible, the paragraph(s) or sections in the preamble, rule, or supporting documents to which each comment refers. You should use a separate paragraph for each issue discussed.

If you want EPA to acknowledge receipt of your comments, enclose a self-addressed, stamped envelope. No faxes will be accepted. Comments may also be submitted electronically to CAFOS.comments@epa.gov. Electronic comments must be submitted as an ASCII, WordPerfect 5.1, WP6.1, or WP8 file avoiding the use of special characters and forms of encryption. Electronic comments must be identified by the docket number OW-00-27. EPA will accept comments and data on disks in WordPerfect 5.1, 6.1, or 8 format or in ASCII file format. Electronic comments on this notice may be filed on-line at many Federal depository libraries.

Table of Contents

- I. Legal Authority.
- II. Purpose and Summary of the Proposed Regulation.
- III. Background.
 - A. The Clean Water Act.
 - B. History of EPA Actions to Address CAFOs.
 - C. Which Requirements Apply to CAFOs.
 - D. How Do Today's Proposed Revisions Compare to the Unified National AFO Strategy?
- IV. Why is EPA Changing the Effluent Guidelines for Feedlots and the NPDES CAFO Regulations?
 - A. Main Reasons for Revising the Existing Regulations.
 - B. Water Quality Impairment Associated with Manure Discharge and Runoff.
 - C. Recent Changes in the Livestock and Poultry Industry.
 - D. Improve Effectiveness of Regulations.
- V. What Environmental and Human Health Impacts are Potentially Caused by CAFOs?
 - A. Which Pollutants Do CAFOs Have the Potential to Discharge and Why are They of Concern?
 - B. How Do These Pollutants Reach Surface Waters?
 - C. What are the Potential and Observed Impacts?
- VI. What are Key Characteristics of the Livestock and Poultry Industries?
 - A. Introduction and Overview.
 - B. Beef Subcategory.
 - C. Dairy Subcategory.
 - D. Hog Subcategory.
 - E. Poultry Subcategory.

- VII. What Changes to the NPDES CAFO Regulations are Being Proposed?
 - A. Summary of Proposed NPDES Regulations.
 - B. What Size AFOs Would be Considered CAFOs?
 - C. Changes to the NPDES Regulations.
 - D. Land Application of CAFO-generated Manure.
 - E. What are the Terms of an NPDES Permit?
 - F. What Type of NPDES Permit is Appropriate for CAFOs?
- VIII. What Changes to the Feedlot Effluent Limitations Guidelines are Being Proposed?
 - A. Expedited Guidelines Approach.
 - B. Changes to Effluent Guidelines Applicability.
 - C. Changes to Effluent Limitations and Standards.
- IX. Implementation of Revised Regulations.
 - A. How do the Proposed Changes Affect State CAFO Programs?
 - B. How Would EPA's Proposal to Designate CAFOs Affect NPDES Authorized States?
 - C. How and When Will the Revised Regulations be Implemented?
 - D. How Many CAFOs are Likely to be Permitted in Each State and EPA Region?
 - E. Funding Issues.
 - F. What Provisions are Made for Upset and Bypass?
 - G. How Would an Applicant Apply for Variances and Modifications to Today's Proposed Regulation?
- X. What are the Costs and Economic Impacts of the Proposed Revisions?
 - A. Introduction and Overview.
 - B. Data Collection Activities.
 - C. Method for Estimating Compliance Costs.
 - D. Method for Estimating Economic Impacts.
 - E. Estimated Annual Costs of the Proposed Regulatory Options/Scenarios.
 - F. Estimated Economic Impacts of the Proposed Regulatory Options/Scenarios.
 - G. Additional Impacts.
 - H. Cost-Effectiveness Analysis.
 - I. Cost-Benefit Analysis.
 - J. Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis.
- XI. What are the Environmental Benefits of the Proposed Revisions?
 - A. Non-Water Quality Environmental Impacts.
 - B. Quantitative and Monetized Benefits.
- XII. Public Outreach.
 - A. Introduction and Overview.
 - B. Joint USDA/EPA Unified AFO Strategy Listening Sessions.
 - C. Advisory Committee Meeting.
 - D. Farm Site Visits.
 - E. Industry Trade Associations.
 - F. CAFO Regulation Workgroup.

- G. Small Business Advocacy Review Panel.
- XIII. Administrative Requirements.
 - A. Executive Order 12866: “Regulatory Planning and Review”.
 - B. Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) as Amended by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (SBREFA), 5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.
 - C. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act.
 - D. Executive Order 13045: “Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks”.
 - E. Executive Order 13084: Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments.
 - F. Paperwork Reduction Act.
 - G. Executive Order 13132: “Federalism”.
 - H. Executive Order 12898: “Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations”.
 - I. National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act.
- XIV. Solicitation of Comments.
 - A. Specific Solicitation of Comment and Data.
 - B. General Solicitation of Comment .

I. Legal Authority

Today’s proposed rule is issued under the authority of sections 301, 304, 306, 307, 308, 402, and 501 of the Clean Water Act (CWA), 33 U.S.C. 1311, 1314, 1316, 1317, 1318, 1342, and 1361.

II. Purpose and Summary of the Proposed Regulation

Today, the Environmental Protection Agency proposes to revise and update two regulations that address the impacts on water quality from manure, wastewater, and other process waters generated by concentrated animal feeding operations (CAFOs). The National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) provisions in 40 CFR Part 122 define which operations are CAFOs and establish permit requirements for those operation. The Effluent Limitations Guidelines (ELG), or effluent guidelines, for feedlots in 40 CFR Part 412 establish technology-based effluent discharge standards that are applied to CAFOs. Both regulations were originally promulgated in the 1970s. EPA is proposing revisions to these regulations to address changes that have occurred in the animal industry sectors over the last 25 years, to clarify and improve implementation of CAFO permit requirements, and to improve the environmental protection achieved under these rules.

Environmental concerns being addressed by this rule include both ecological and human health effects. Manure from stockpiles, lagoons, or excessive land application rates can reach waterways through runoff, erosion, spills, or via groundwater. These discharges can result in excessive nutrients